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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is twofold. First we relate the Casson invariant to the signature defect of Hirzebruch, which is a fine invariant defined for framed manifolds. Secondly we introduce the notion of the secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles. This consists of a series of cohomology classes of a certain subgroup of the mapping class group of orientable surfaces. The definition is given by first examining the above relation between the Casson invariant and the signature defect precisely and then generalizing it to higher dimensional context.

The Casson invariant is an integer valued invariant defined for oriented homology 3 -spheres. Roughly speaking it counts the number (with signs) of conjugacy classes of irreducible representations of the fundamental group of an oriented homology 3-sphere into the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. In the cases where the homology 3 -spheres are given as the results of performing $1 / n$ Dehn surgeries along knots in the 3 -dimensional sphere $S^{3}$, there is a formula which expresses their Casson invariants in terms of a classical invariant of knots, namely the Alexander polynomial.

In our previous papers [22], [23], we investigated how the Casson invariant is related to the structure of the mapping class group of oriented surfaces through the correspondence between elements of the mapping class group and 3 -manifolds via the Heegaard splittings. Here we briefly

[^0]recall our results. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ be the mapping class group of an oriented closed surface of genus $g$, which we denote by $\Sigma_{g}$, and let $\mathcal{K}_{g}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ generated by all the Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g}$. Let us fix a Heegaard splitting of $S^{3}$ of genus $g$. Namely we express $S^{3}=H_{g} \cup_{\iota_{g}}-H_{g}$ where $H_{g}$ denotes the handlebody of genus $g$, and $\iota_{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ is a certain element so that if we glue $H_{g}$ with $-H_{g}$ along their boundaries by the map $t_{g}$, then we obtain $S^{3}$. Thus to each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g}$, we can associate an oriented homology 3 -sphere $W_{\varphi}$ which is the one obtained by first cutting $S^{3}$ along the fixed Heegaard surface $\Sigma_{g}$ and then reglueing the resulting two pieces by the map $\varphi$. Namely we have $W_{\varphi}=H_{g} \cup_{\iota g} \varphi-H_{g}$. It can be shown that any homology 3 -sphere can be obtained in this way, for some $g$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g}$ (see [22]). Then we can define a mapping
$$
\lambda^{*}: \mathcal{K}_{g} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}
$$
by setting $\lambda^{*}(\varphi)=\lambda\left(W_{\varphi}\right)\left(\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g}\right)$ where $\lambda\left(W_{\varphi}\right)$ is the Casson invariant of the homology sphere $W_{\varphi}$. In such a situation, the main results of [22] can be summarized as follows. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ be the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g}$ relative to an embedded disc $D^{2} \subset \Sigma_{g}$, and let $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ generated by all the Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g} \backslash D^{2}$. Then we can define two homomorphisms $d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $q: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ such that the equality
$$
\lambda^{*}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{24} d(\widetilde{\varphi})+q(\widetilde{\varphi})
$$
holds for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g}$ where $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ is any lift of $\varphi$. In other words, the Casson invariant $\lambda^{*}$ can be described as the sum of two invariants $d$ and $q$ which are defined for elements of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. The latter invariant $q$ is rather easy to compute and it should measure certain higher order Massey products of the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}=\Sigma_{g} \times I / \sim$, where we identify ( $p, 0$ ) with $(\varphi(p), 1)\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}\right)$ and $M_{\varphi}$ homologically the same as $\Sigma_{g} \times S^{1}$. On the contrary, $d$ is a much deeper invariant than $q$, and in fact it plays the role of the very core of the Casson invariant from our point of view. It is true that the value of $d$ on each generator of the group $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, namely Dehn twist along any separating simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g} \backslash D^{2}$, is very simple. However at present we do not know any effective method to compute it for arbitrarily given element of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, because there is no algorithm to express any given element as a product of generators. The situation being like this, we must say that $d$ remains to be a rather mysterious invariant.

Now one of the main purposes of the present paper is to give a geometrical interpretation of this invariant $d$. Precise statements will be described in $\S 5$ after a few preparatory sections ( $\S \S 2-4$ ). In short, we will prove that the value $d(\varphi)$ is equal to the Hirzebruch's signature defect (see [14]) of the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ with respect to a certain canonical framing of its tangent bundle (Theorem 5.1). In this way we found a connection between the Casson invariant and the signature defect of certain framed 3-manifolds.

The invariant $d$ is defined not just for elements of the group $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ but also for any element of the whole mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ (see [22]). Our geometrical interpretation of the invariant $d$ mentioned above is in fact given in this general setting. It takes the form of the signature defect of the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}\left(\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ with respect to a certain 2-framing (in the sense of Atiyah [4]) of its tangent bundle (Theorem 5.2). Our 2framings of these mapping tori $M_{\varphi}$ are different from Atiyah's canonical 2-framings, and here we find an interesting interplay between Atiyah's argument in [4] and ours. Proofs of these results will be given in $\S 6$.

In $\S 7$ we generalize some of the above arguments given mainly for the 3-dimensional manifolds $M_{\varphi}$, to the cases of higher dimensions. In particular we prove that any $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle whose holonomy group is contained in the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ has a partial framing on its tangent bundle. We can generalize the definition of the signature defect so that it will be adapted to this weaker setting (Proposition 7.3). By making use of this together with the bordism theory as developed in [1], [8], we obtain the definition of our secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles

$$
d_{i} \in H^{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots),
$$

(see Theorem 7.7). The first class $d_{1} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)$ is nothing but the above invariant $d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, up to a non-zero factor, which embodies a deep structure of the mapping class group related to the Casson invariant. We expect that other secondary characteristic classes of higher degrees will also reflect certain unknown structure of the mapping class group.

In this paper, we treat our invariant $d$ only locally, namely we consider its value on each individual element of the mapping class group and give a geometrical meaning to it. In future, we would like to investigate this invariant as well as higher secondary classes more globally, perhaps in relation to the global geometry of the Teichmuiller space and also of various universal families over it. Here Atiyah's work [3], which treats the case of genus one, should be considered as a guide.

## 2. Cocycles for the first characteristic class of surface bundles

Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ be the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g}$. Namely it is the group of all the isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $\Sigma_{g}$. Harer [12] proved that the second cohomology group $H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is an infinite cyclic group (for $g \geq 3$ ) generated by the first Chern class

$$
c_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathrm{Z}\right) .
$$

Here $c_{1}$ is defined as follows. Let $\rho: \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{Z})$ be the classical representation of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ onto the Siegel modular group which is induced from the natural action of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ on the integral homology group $H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ of $\Sigma_{g}$. The Siegel modular group is contained in the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{R})$, and the maximal compact subgroup of the latter group is isomorphic to the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(g)$. Hence we have the usual first Chern class $c_{1} \in H^{2}(\operatorname{BSp}(2 g ; \mathbf{R}) ; \mathbf{Z})$. This cohomology class can be pulled back to define an element in $H^{2}(\operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{Z}) ; \mathbf{Z})$ and then in $H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ via the homomorphism $\rho$. This is our first Chern class $c_{1}$ (we use the same letter). In the case where we are given a family of Riemann surfaces of genus $g$ over a differentiable manifold $M$, our $c_{1}$ is (up to signs) nothing but the first Chern class of the $g$-dimensional complex vector bundle over $M$ whose fibre over a point $p \in M$ is the space of holomorphic differentials of the Riemann surface over $p$ (cf. [2], [19]).

Now let

$$
e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

be the first characteristic class of surface bundles defined in [18], [19] (see also [2], [17], [27]). Here we briefly recall its definition. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable fibre bundle over $M$ with fibre $\Sigma_{g}$, and let $\xi$ be the tangent bundle along the fibres of $\pi$, namely it is the subbundle of the tangent bundle of $E$ consisting of all vectors which are tangent to the fibres. We assume that $\pi$ is oriented in the sense that $\xi$ is an oriented plane bundle over $E$. Let $e \in H^{2}(E ; \mathbf{Z})$ be the Euler class of $\xi$, and set

$$
e_{1}(\pi)=\pi_{*}\left(e^{2}\right) \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbf{Z}),
$$

where $\pi_{*}: H^{4}(E ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$ is the Gysin homomorphism or the integration along the fibres. By an obvious naturality of the above construction, we have a well defined cohomology class $e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. This is the definition of our first characteristic class $\epsilon_{1}$. If we apply the Gysin homomorphism to powers of the Euler class $e$ of higher degrees,
we obtain higher characteristic classes of surface bundles (see [19], [27] for details).

Now the relation between the two cohomology classes $c_{1}$ and $e_{1}$ is very simple; namely we have

$$
e_{1}=12 c_{1}
$$

This can be proved by applying the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of elliptic operators [5] or the Grothendieck Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. [2], [19], [27]). If we assume Harer's result mentioned above, then the above equality holds over the integers.

Now there are various explicit group cocycles which represent (certain multiples) of the first Chern class $c_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (see [9], [11], [16], [21], [25], [29]). Except for just one cocycle defined in [21], [25], all of these cocycles are defined at the level of the Siegel modular group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{Z})$ or the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{R})$, and each of them has its own interesting geometrical or algebraic background, e.g. the volumes of geodesic simplices in the Siegel upper half spaces ([9]), the Maslov indices of Lagrangian subspaces of a symplectic vector space ([6], [11], [29], [30]) and so on.

Among these cocycles, the most important one for our purpose is Meyer's signature cocycle [16] which is defined as follows. For any two elements $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$, let $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ be the $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle over the two sphere with three holes (or a pair of pants) such that its boundary is a disjoint union of $M_{\varphi}, M_{\psi}$ and $-M_{\varphi \psi}$. If we put

$$
\tau(\varphi, \psi)=\operatorname{sign} M_{(\varphi, \psi)}
$$

where $\operatorname{sign} M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ denotes the signature of the 4-manifold $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$, then it can be shown that $\tau$ is a 2 -cocycle of the group $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ and represents $4 c_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (see [3], [16]). It follows that $3 \tau$ represents the first characteristic class $e_{1}$. Meyer gave an explicit formula for the cocycle $\tau$ at the level of the Siegel modular group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbf{Z})$.

Next we recall the definition of our group cocycle $c$ which also represents the first characteristic class $e_{1}$ (see [21]). At present this is the only known group cocycle that cannot be defined at the level of the Siegel modular group. We may say that it reflects deeper structure of the mapping class group than any other cocycles. For technical reasons, this cocycle is defined not on the usual mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ but on another type of mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ which is the one relative to an embedded disc $D^{2} \subset \Sigma_{g}$; namely we consider only those
diffeomorphisms and isotopies which are the identity on $D^{2}$. (However over the rationals we do have a related group cocycle which is defined on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$; see [25].) We proved in [20] that the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$ is an infinite cyclic group. Let us fix a crossed homomorphism

$$
k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

which represents a generator of the above cohomology group. Here a crossed homomorphism means that we have the equality $k(\varphi \psi)=$ $k(\varphi)+\varphi_{*}(k(\psi))$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$. Now in terms of this crossed homomorphism, our group cocycle $c$ is defined as

$$
c(\varphi, \psi)=k\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k(\psi)
$$

where - denotes the intersection number. We mention here that the crossed homomorphism $k$ is well defined up to coboundaries, which can be naturally identified with elements of $H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

Henceforth let us call this cocycle $c$, which represents the first characteristic class $e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; Z\right)$, the intersection cocycle.

## 3. A central extension of the mapping class group and framings of 3-manifolds

In this section, first we recall the notion of 2 -framings of 3 -manifolds and the definition of a certain central extension of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ from Atiyah's paper [4], in which they are fully developed. According to [4], these ideas are all due to Witten. In the same paper, Atiyah clarified the relationship between Meyer's signature cocycle and the above ideas by introducing the notion of canonical 2-framing to every 3 -manifold. In the latter half of this section, we will consider how our intersection cocycle is related to these ideas.

Let $M$ be a closed oriented 3 -manifold. Then it is easy to see that the twice of the tangent bundle of $M$, denoted by $2 T M=T M \oplus T M$, has a natural spin structure. Moreover it is a trivial bundle because $T M$ is trivial. A homotopy class of trivializations of $2 T M$ as a Spin(6)-bundle is called a 2 -framing of $M$. The set of 2 -framings of any 3 -manifold can be naturally identified with the set of integers $\mathbf{Z}$ as follows. Let $\alpha$ be a 2 -framing of $M$. Choose a compact oriented 4 -manifold $Z$ such that $\partial Z=M$, and set $\delta(\alpha)=\frac{1}{6} p_{1}(2 T Z ; \alpha)-\operatorname{sign} Z$ where the relative Pontrjagin number $p_{1}$ is defined by trivializing $2 T Z$ on $\partial Z=M$ by the 2-framing $\alpha$. It is easy to see that $\delta(\alpha)$ is well defined independent of
the choice of $Z$. In fact it is the signature defect of Hirzebruch adapted to the context of 2 -framings. Now the identification of the set of 2 framings with Z mentioned above is given by associating $3 \delta(\alpha)$ to each 2-framing $\alpha$. In these terminologies, Atiyah's canonical 2-framing of a 3 -manifold is defined to be the unique 2-framing $\alpha$ such that $\delta(\alpha)=0$.

Next we recall the definition of a central extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g} \longrightarrow 1
$$

As a set, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is the one consisting of isomorphism classes of oriented surface bundles $M \rightarrow S^{1}$ over the circle with fibre $\Sigma_{g}$ together with a choice of 2-framing $\alpha$ on the total space $M$ as well as an identification of the fibre over the base point $* \in S^{1}$ with $\Sigma_{g}$ (the definition given in [4] is insufficient. One must fix a trivialization of each surface bundle over the base point as above). Thus any element of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ can be represented by a pair $(\varphi, \alpha)$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ and $\alpha$ is a 2 -framing on the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$. The group law is defined as $(\varphi, \alpha)(\psi, \beta)=(\varphi \psi, \gamma)$ where $\gamma$ is the 2 -framing on $M_{\varphi \psi}$ such that the relative $p_{1}$ of $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ with respect to the 2 -framing $\alpha+\beta-\gamma$ on $\partial M_{(\varphi, \psi)}=M_{\varphi}+M_{\psi}-M_{\varphi \psi}$ vanishes.

Now let $s_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ be the canonical section to the central extension $\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ given by $s_{0}(\varphi)=\left(\varphi, \alpha_{\varphi}\right)\left(\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g}\right)$, where $\alpha_{\varphi}$ is Atiyah's canonical 2-framing on $M_{\varphi}$. Then it turns out that the associated 2-cocycle of the above extension

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g} \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto s_{0}(\varphi) s_{0}(\psi)\left\{s_{0}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Z}
$$

is equal to thrice Meyer's signature cocycle $\tau$. It follows that the extension class of the above central extension is $12 c_{1}$ and hence is nothing but the first characteristic class $e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

Now we would like to investigate the influence of our intersection cocycle $c$, which also represents $\epsilon_{1}$, on the above central extension. But before doing so, we make a short digression to consider a general fact about central extensions which should be well known.

Geometrically a central extension corresponds to a principal $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ bundle or equivalently an oriented $S^{1}$-bundle. To mesure how such a bundle is twisted globally, we put a connection on it which is a 1form on the total space satisfying certain conditions. We then have the associated curvature form which is a closed 2 -form on the base space. The de Rham cohomology class of this closed 2 -form is nothing but the Euler class of the given bundle (up to the factor $2 \pi$ ). Another
method to compute the Euler class is to try to make a cross section to the associated oriented plane bundle whose image is transverse to the zero-section. Then the intersection of the image of the section with the zero-section represents the Poincaré dual of the Euler class. Now let us consider how these geometrical ideas can be converted into the algebraic context of central extensions of groups.

Let

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z} \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow 1
$$

be a central extension and let $\chi \in H^{2}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ be its Euler class. A section of this central extension is a set map $s: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ which is a right inverse to the projection $\pi: \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$. A retraction is a mapping $r: \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ such that the equality $r(\hat{f}+m)=r(\hat{f})+m$ holds for any $\hat{f} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ where we write the multiplication by $m \in \mathrm{Z}$ additively. A cocycle of this central extension is a group 2-cocycle $c \in Z^{2}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ which represents the Euler class $\chi$. In these terminologies, we have

Proposition 3.1. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$ be a central extension. Then there is a natural bijection between the following two sets:
(i) the set of all sections,
(ii) the set of all retractions.

Moreover if we assume that $H^{1}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})=0$, then there is also a canonical bijection between any of the above two sets and
(iii) the set of all cocycles.

The normalizing condition (i) $s(\mathrm{id})=\mathrm{id}$ for elements of the first set corresponds to the conditions (ii) $r$ (id) $=0$ and (iii) $c(f, \mathrm{id})=c(\mathrm{id}, f)=$ 0 for any $f \in \Gamma$, for elements of the other two sets respectively, under the above bijections.

Proof. The natural one-to-one correspondence between the two sets (i) and (ii) is given as follows. To each section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ we associate a retraction $r: \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that $r(\hat{f})=\hat{f} s(f)^{-1}$ where $\hat{f} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ is any element and $f=\pi(\hat{f})$. Conversely, if we are given a retraction $r: \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, then we can construct a section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ by setting $s(f)(f \in \Gamma)$ to be the unique element $\hat{f} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ such that $\pi(\hat{f})=f$ and $r(\hat{f})=0$. It is easy to see that the above two correspondences are mutually inverses.

Next we consider the relation between the two sets (i) and (iii). Any section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ gives rise to a cocycle $c$ which is defined by

$$
c(f, g)=s(f) s(g)\{s(f g)\}^{-1}(f, g \in \Gamma) .
$$

For later use, let us fix a section $s_{0}$ and let $c_{0}$ be the associated cocycle. Now let $c$ be any cocycle. Both of the cocycles $c_{0}$ and $c$ represent the same cohomology class, namely the Euler class of the given central extension. Hence there exists a 1-cochain $d: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ such that $c=$ $c_{0}+\delta d$. If we define a section $s: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ by $s=s_{0}+d$, then it is easy to see that the associated cocycle is nothing but the given one $c$. Now we consider the assumption that $H^{1}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})=0$. This condition implies that the 1 -cochain $d$ above is uniquely defined so that we can associate a unique section to each cocycle. It is then a routine matter to verify that the above correspondences define a bijection between the set of all sections and that of all cocycles. If we write $r_{0}$ for the retraction associated to the fixed section $s_{0}$ (or equivalently to the fixed cocycle $c_{0}$ ), then the retraction $r$ corresponding to a general section $s$ (or a general cocycle $c$ ) is given by $r(\hat{f})=r_{0}(\hat{f})-d(f)$ where $\hat{f} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $f=\pi(\hat{f})$.

Finally the last statement of our proposition can be easily checked by a straightforward inspection. This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Now we finish our digression and go back to our specific central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow 1$ or rather to a similar one

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow 1
$$

which corresponds to the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$. It is well known that the mapping class groups $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ satisfy the assumption of Proposition 3.1 (see [12]). Hence we can apply it to the above central extension. Let $s_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}$ be the section defined by Atiyah's canonical 2-framing. Then as was mentioned before, Atiyah [4] proved that the associated cocycle is nothing but $3 \tau$, where $\tau$ is Meyer's signature cocycle. The retraction $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ associated to the canonical section $s_{0}$ is of course given by thrice the signature defect $\delta$.

Now recall from the previous section that we have our intersection cocycle $c$ which also represents the Euler class of the above central extension. Hence, by Proposition 3.1, we have the associated section

$$
s: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1} .
$$

If we write $c=3 \tau+\delta d$ where $d: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ is a certain 1-cochain which is uniquely defined, then $s=s_{0}+d$. One of the main purposes of the present paper is to give a geometrical meaning to this section $s$ or equivalently to the associated 1-cochain $d$.

## 4. Vector fields on surfaces and the mapping class group

Our main concern in this paper is the intersection cocycle $c \in Z^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; Z\right)$ which represents the first characteristic class $e_{1}$. This cocycle is defined once we fix a crossed homomorphism

$$
k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

which represents a generator of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)\right) \cong \mathrm{Z}$. More precisely we have

$$
c(\varphi, \psi)=k\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k(\psi)
$$

In our previous papers [20], [21], [24], [26] we showed various ways of constructing crossed homomorphisms $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ which represent a generator of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$. The purpose of this section is to give another crossed homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. This crossed homomorphism was proposed by Furuta [10] and it turned out that it also represents a generator of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$, as will be shown below. It is defined in terms of vector fields on surfaces. At present it is the most geometrical one and is fit best to our purpose. Later Trapp [28] defined yet another crossed homomorphism which is given in terms of the concept of winding numbers ([7]) and is closely related to Furuta's one.

Now let $T_{1} \Sigma_{g}$ be the unit tangent bundle of $\Sigma_{g}$. Then $\pi_{1}\left(T_{1} \Sigma_{g}\right)$ can be naturally considered as a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ (see [15]). It was actually proved in [20] that a crossed homomorphism $k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ represents a generator of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$ if and only if $k(\gamma)=$ $\pm(2-2 g)[\gamma]$ for any (and hence all) element $\gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(T_{1} \Sigma_{g}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ with $[\gamma] \neq 0$ where $[\gamma] \in H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the homology class of $\gamma$ projected to the base space $\Sigma_{g}$. Now we define Furuta's crossed homomorphism

$$
k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

First choose a non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}=\Sigma_{g} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2}$, where $D^{2}$ is the fixed embedded disc in $\Sigma_{g}$. Also choose a Riemannian metric $g_{0}$ on $\Sigma_{g}$. For each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$, select a representative $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}_{+} \Sigma_{g}$ (we
use the same letter) which is the identity on the disc $D^{2}$, and consider the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ which is also non-singular. We define a mapping

$$
f_{\varphi}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}
$$

as follows, where $S^{1}$ stands for the set of all angles $\bmod 2 \pi$. For each point $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$, we have two tangent vectors $X_{p}$ and $\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}$ of $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ at $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$. We set $f_{\varphi}(p)$ to be $\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$ where the symbol $\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$ denotes the angle from $X_{p}$ to $\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}$ which is measured with respect to the fixed orientation and the metric on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ and is counted $\bmod 2 \pi$. Let $\left[f_{\varphi}\right] \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}^{0} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ be the cohomology class determined by the $\operatorname{map} f_{\varphi} ;$ namely $\left[f_{\varphi}\right]=f_{\varphi}^{*}(\iota)$ where $\iota \in H^{1}\left(S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the generator. Let us write $k(\varphi) \in H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ for the Poincaré dual of [ $f_{\varphi}$ ]. Then we obtain a mapping $k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. It is easy to see that the homotopy class of the mapping $f_{\varphi}$ does not depend on the choice of the representative of $\varphi$ in Diff $+\Sigma_{g}$ nor the choice of the metric $g_{0}$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ so that the mapping $k$ is well defined depending only on the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$.

Proposition 4.1. The mapping $k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; Z\right)$ defined above is a crossed homomorphism. Moreover its cohomology class $[k]$ is independent of the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$ and is a generator of the infinite cyclic group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.
Step I. First we prove that the mapping $k: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a crossed homomorphism. To show this, for any two elements $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ and a point $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$, we consider three tangent vectors $X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}$ and $\left((\varphi \psi)_{*} X\right)_{p}$ at $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$. By the definition of the mappings $f_{\varphi \psi}$ and $f_{\varphi}$, we have $f_{\varphi \psi}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left((\varphi \psi)_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$ and $f_{\varphi}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$. Hence we have

$$
f_{\varphi \psi}(p)=f_{\varphi}(p)+\angle\left(\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p},\left((\varphi \psi)_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)
$$

Now $\angle\left(\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p},\left((\varphi \psi)_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)=\angle\left(\varphi_{*}\left(X_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}\right), \varphi_{*}\left(\left(\psi_{*} X\right)_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}\right)\right.$ so that this angle is equal to $\bar{f}_{\psi}\left(\varphi^{-1}(p)\right)$ where $\bar{f}_{\psi}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is the mapping defined similarly as $f_{\psi}$ but with respect to the Riemannian metric $\varphi^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. In particular the two mappings $f_{\psi}$ and $\bar{f}_{\psi}$ are homotopic each other. Now we can write

$$
f_{\varphi \psi}(p)=f_{\varphi}(p)+\left(\bar{f}_{\psi} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)(p)
$$

If we consider the corresponding cohomology classes, we obtain $\left[f_{\varphi \psi}\right]=$ $\left[f_{\varphi}\right]+\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(\left[f_{\psi}\right]\right) \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, and taking the Poincare duals we finally
have

$$
k(\varphi \psi)=k(\varphi)+\varphi_{*}(k(\psi)) .
$$

Hence $k$ is a crossed homomorphism.
Step II. Next we show that the cohomology class [k] is independent of the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be another non-singular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$, and for each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ we denote $f_{\varphi}^{\prime}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ for the associated map, and also write

$$
k^{\prime}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

for the crossed homomorphism corresponding to $X^{\prime}$. Let us write $w: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ for the mapping defined as $w(p)=\angle\left(X_{p}, X_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}\right)$, and let $[w] \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ be the cohomology class determined by $w$. Also let $\bar{w}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be the mapping defined similarly as $w$ but with respect to the Riemannian metric $\varphi^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Thus $\bar{w}(p)=\angle\left(\varphi_{*}\left(X_{p}\right), \varphi_{*}\left(X_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. It is easy to see that the two maps $w$ and $\bar{w}$ are homotopic each other so that $[w]=[\bar{w}]$. Now we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\varphi}^{\prime}(p) & =\angle\left(X_{p}^{\prime},\left(\varphi_{*} X^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right) \\
& =\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)+\angle\left(\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X^{\prime}\right)_{p}\right)-\angle\left(X_{p}, X_{p}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =f_{\varphi}(p)+\bar{w}\left(\varphi^{-1}(p)\right)-w(p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left[f_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right]=\left[f_{\varphi}\right]+\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{*}([w])-[w] \in H^{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) .
$$

If we take the Poincaré duals, we obtain

$$
k^{\prime}(\varphi)=k(\varphi)+\varphi_{*}\left([w]^{*}\right)-[w]^{*}
$$

where $[w]^{*} \in H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the Poincaré dual of $[w]$. We can now conclude that the two crossed homomorphisms $k, k^{\prime}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ are cohomologous to each other, because their difference is a coboundary corresponding to $[w]^{*}$.

Step III. Finally we prove that the cohomology class $[k]$ is a generator of the infinite cyclic group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)\right)$. To show this, we may assume that $g=2$ because we know from [20] that the natural inclusion $i: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g+1,1}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
i^{*}: H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g+1,1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g+1} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)
$$

for all $g \geq 2$.

Figure 1a

Figure 1b

Now choose a free generator $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(\sum_{2}^{0}\right)$ as depicted in Figure 1a and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ be the corresponding homology classes in $H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{2} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)$. Also let $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ be two simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$ as shown in Figure 1b. Set $\gamma=\mu\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ where $\mu$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are the Dehn twists along $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ respectively. Then it is easy to see that $\gamma$ belongs to the subgroup $\pi_{1}\left(T_{1} \Sigma_{2}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ and also that the homology
class of $\gamma$ projected to the base space $\Sigma_{2}$ is equal to $y_{2}$.

## Figure 2a

## Figure 2b

Now choose a vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{2}$ as shown in Figure 2a, where we deform the embedded disc $D^{2}$, by an isotopy, so that it will contain the two hyperbolic singular points of $X$ in its interior. Thus we obtain a non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{2}^{0}$. Let

$$
k_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{2,1} \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

be the crossed homomorphism associated to $X$. We claim that the equality $k_{X}(\gamma)=2 y_{2}$ holds. By the above arguments, this will then imply that the crossed homomorphism $k_{X}$ represents a generator of the infinite cyclic group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$ for all $g \geq 2$ and $X$. More precisely, we have $k_{X}(\gamma)=(2 g-2)[\gamma]$ for all $\gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(T_{1} \Sigma_{g}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ (notice that the value of $2 g-2$ for $g=2$ is equal to 2 ).

Now we prove $k_{X}(\gamma)=2 y_{2}$. We know from the outset that $k_{X}(\gamma)$ is a multiple of $y_{2}$. By the definition of the crossed homomorphism $k_{X}$, $k_{X}(\gamma)$ is the Poincare dual of the cohomology class $\left[f_{\gamma}\right]=f_{\gamma}^{*}(\iota)$ where

$$
f_{\gamma}: \Sigma_{2}^{0} \longrightarrow S^{1}
$$

is the mapping defined by $f_{\gamma}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\gamma_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$. Now we know that $\left[f_{\gamma}\right]$ is a multiple of the Poincare dual of $y_{2}$ which is $x_{2}^{*}$. Here $x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}$ are the dual basis of $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$. Hence we have only to count the number of times the point $f_{\gamma}(p)$ goes around the circle $S^{1}$ according as the point $p$ goes around the oriented closed curve $\ell$, which is shown in Figure $1 b$ and represents the homology class $x_{2}$, once. Since the mapping $\gamma$ does not act on the homology of $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$, to compute the above number we may replace the mapping $f_{\gamma}$ by $f_{\gamma} \circ \gamma$. Then $\left(f_{\gamma} \circ \gamma\right)(p)=$ $\angle\left(X_{\gamma(p)}, \gamma_{*}\left(X_{p}\right)\right)$. Now the vector field $X$ along the oriented closed curve $\gamma(\ell)$ looks like the picture shown in Figure 2b. By a direct inspection, we can now conclude that the above number is equal to 2 . This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Since we know that any crossed homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is trivial on the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ (see [21], [22]), we have the following immediate corollary to Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. Let $X$ be any non-singular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Then for any element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ is homotopic to $X$ in the sense that there exists a one-parameter family $X_{t}(t \in \mathbf{R})$ of non-singular vector fields on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ such that $X_{0}=X$ and $X_{1}=\varphi_{*} X$.

## 5. The Casson invariant as the signature defect of certain framed 3 -manifolds

As was explained in the Introduction (see also [22] for details), the influence of the Casson invariant on the structure of the mapping class group is focussed upon an invariant $d$ which defines a homomorphism

$$
d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} .
$$

The value of this invariant $d$ on each generator of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ is very simple. More precisely, let $\omega$ be a separating simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g} \backslash D^{2}$ and let $h$ be the genus of the subsurface of $\Sigma_{g}$ which is bounded by $\omega$ and does not contain the embedded disc $D^{2}$. Then $d\left(D_{\omega}\right)=4 h(h-1)$ where $D_{\omega}$ is the Dehn twist along $\omega$.

Now one of our main results is to give a geometrical meaning to this invariant $d$. To formulate this, for each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ we consider the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ which is defined as $\Sigma_{g} \times I / \sim$ where we identify $(p, 0)$ with $(\varphi(p), 1)\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}\right)$. We will see below that the 3 manifold $M_{\varphi}$ has a canonical framing $t_{\varphi}$ on its tangent bundle $T M_{\varphi}$. We can therefore consider the signature defect of the framed 3-manifold ( $M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}$ ) which we write $\delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}\right)$. Namely if $Z$ is a compact oriented 4manifold with $\partial Z=M_{\varphi}$, then we have $\delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{1}{3} p_{1}\left(T Z ; t_{\varphi}\right)-\operatorname{sign} Z$, where $p_{1}\left(T Z ; t_{\varphi}\right)$ denotes the relative $p_{1}$ of the tangent bundle of $Z$ with respect to the framing $t_{\varphi}$ on its boundary.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ be the subgroup of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ generated by all the Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g} \backslash D^{2}$. Then for each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, there is a canonical framing $t_{\varphi}$ on the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ such that the equality

$$
d(\varphi)=3 \delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}\right)
$$

holds, where the right-hand side denotes the signature defect of the framed 3-manifold $\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}\right)$.

We now define the framing $t_{\varphi}$ on $M_{\varphi}$. For that purpose we first choose a non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}=\Sigma_{g} \backslash$ Int $D^{2}$. Since the mapping $\varphi$ is the identity on $D^{2}, M_{\varphi}$ contains $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ as a natural submanifold. Let us write $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ for $M_{\varphi} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2} \times S^{1}$. Since we are assuming that the mapping class $\varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ is homotopic to $X$, as was proved in the previous section (Corollary 4.2). Hence we can define a non-zero section of $\xi \mid M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ by making use of a homotopy between $X$ and $\varphi_{*} X$ where $\xi$ has been used to denote the tangent bundle along the fibres of the surface bundle $M_{\varphi}$. This in turn defines a framing of $\xi \mid M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ by choosing the second vector of the framing to be the one which is orthogonal to the non-zero section and has the right direction with respect to the orientation on $\xi$. This induces a framing of $T M_{\varphi}$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ because $T M_{\varphi} \cong \xi \oplus \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon$ is the trivial line bundle. Now observe that the restriction of the above framing of $\xi$ to $\partial\left(D^{2} \times S^{1}\right)$ is independent of $\varphi$. In fact it rotates $(2-2 g)$-times around the boundary of $D^{2}$ and is constant along the direction of $S^{1}$. Hence if we glue the tangent bundle of $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ along its boundary according to the above framing and then pull it back to $\left(D^{2} / \partial D^{2}\right) \times S^{1}$, then we obtain $\left(\eta_{2-2 g} \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon\right) \oplus \varepsilon=\left(\eta_{2-2 g} \oplus \varepsilon\right) \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$. Here $\eta_{2-2 g}$ is an oriented plane bundle over $D^{2} / \partial D^{2}=S^{2}$ with the Euler number equal to $2-2 g$, $\varepsilon$ is the trivial line bundle and the symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the exterior tensor
product. Now the bundle $\eta_{2-2 g} \oplus \varepsilon$ over $S^{2}$ is trivial and has a unique trivialization up tp homotopy because $\pi_{2}(\mathrm{SO}(3))=0$. Therefore the framing of $T M_{\varphi}$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ obtained above can be naturally extended to the interior of $D^{2} \times S^{1}$. We thus obtain a global framing $t_{\varphi}$ of the 3 -manifold $M_{\varphi}$. Unfortunately this framing is not uniquely defined because of the non-vanishing of $H_{1}\left(M_{\varphi}, \mathrm{Z}\right)$. However it does hold that the induced 2 -framing $2 t_{\varphi}$ is uniquely defined. Moreover it turns out that it does not depend even on the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$. Hence we can say that the 2 -framing $2 t_{\varphi}$ is a canonical one for the 3 -manifold $M_{\varphi}$.

Our original proof of Theorem 5.1, which was obtained some years ago, was given by a direct computation of the signature defects. However we think that the best way to prove and also to understand the above results would be to generalize everything from the context of the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ to that of the whole mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ as follows. This will allow us to obtain a more conceptual proof of Theorem 5.1 than before. Recall that we have fixed a non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. This induces a crossed homomorphism $k_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (see §4) and therefore we have the associated intersection cocycle $c_{X}$ defined by

$$
c_{X}(\varphi, \psi)=k_{X}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k_{X}(\psi)\left(\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)
$$

(see $\S 2$ ). According to Proposition 3.1, we have a section

$$
s_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}
$$

which corresponds to $c_{X}$. As in $\S 3$, let us write

$$
c_{X}=3 \tau+\delta d_{X},
$$

where $d_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a certain 1-cochain which is uniquely defined. Then $s_{X}=s_{0}+d_{X}$ where $s_{0}$ is Atiyah's canonical section. We have the following result which is a generalization of Theorem 5.1 to the context of the whole mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ be the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{g}$ relative to an embedded disc $D^{2}$. Choose a non-singular vector field $X$ on

$$
\Sigma_{g}^{0}=\Sigma_{g} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2} .
$$

Then for each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$, there is a canonical 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ on the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$, which is uniquely defined, such that the equality

$$
d_{X}(\varphi)=3 \delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{X}(\varphi)\right)
$$

holds. Here the right-hand side denotes the signature defect of the 2framed 3-manifold $\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{X}(\varphi)\right)$. Equivalently, if we define a section $t_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}$ by

$$
t_{X}(\varphi)=\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{X}(\varphi)\right)\left(\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right),
$$

then it corresponds to the intersection cocycle $c_{X}$ :

$$
c_{X}(\varphi, \psi)=t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)\left\{t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1}
$$

Here we would like to emphasize that our canonical 2-framing mentioned in the above theorem is different from Atiyah's canonical 2framing which is defined for general 3 -manifolds. Now we define our canonical 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ on $M_{\varphi}$.

We have the mapping $f_{\varphi}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ defined by

$$
f_{\varphi}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right) \quad\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}\right),
$$

(see $\S 4$ ). We may assume that $\pi \in S^{1}$ is a regular value of $f_{\varphi}$ by perturbing, if necessary, the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ slightly (cf. the argument in the proof of Proposition 6.1). Similarly we may assume that the length of the vector fields $X$ and $\varphi_{*} X$ (after a necessary perturbation) are constantly equal to 1 , because it is only the direction of the tangent vectors that is essential in the following arguments and the length is irrelevant. Let us write $K_{\varphi} \subset \Sigma_{g}^{0}$ for the submanifold $f_{\varphi}^{-1}(\pi)$. We put an orientation on $K_{\varphi}$ so that its homology class $\left[K_{\varphi}\right]$ is equal to $k_{X}(\varphi)$. We also consider $K_{\varphi}$ as a submanifold of $M_{\varphi}$ by embedding it into $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \subset M_{\varphi}$. Now we define a section $\sigma$ of the oriented plane bundle $\xi$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}=M_{\varphi} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2} \times S^{1}$ by

$$
\sigma(p, t)=(1-t) X_{p}+t\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p} \quad\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}, t \in[0,1]\right) .
$$

Then it is easy to see that the image $\operatorname{Im} \sigma$ of this section is transverse to the zero-section $Z_{0}$ of $\xi$ and also that $\operatorname{Im} \sigma \cap Z_{0}=K_{\varphi}$. Moreover if we put an orientation on the normal bundle of $K_{\varphi}$ which is induced from those on $M_{\varphi}$ and $K_{\varphi}$, then it can be checked that the section $\sigma$ restricted to the normal direction of any point $p$ on $K_{\varphi}$, followed by the projection to the fibre of $\xi$ at $p$ with respect to a trivialization near $p$, is orientation preserving. We summarize the above argument as the following proposition for later use (compare with Proposition 4.1 of [21]).

Proposition 5.3. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ and let $M_{\varphi} \rightarrow S^{1}$ be the corresponding oriented $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle. Then the Poincaré dual of the Euler class $\chi(\xi) \in H^{2}\left(M_{\varphi} ; \mathrm{Z}\right)$ of the tangent bundle $\xi$ along the fibres of this surface bundle can be represented by the 1-dimensional submanifold

$$
K_{\varphi} \cup(2-2 g) S^{1}
$$

of $M_{\varphi}$ where $S^{1}$ denotes any "horizontal circle" $q \times S^{1}\left(q \in D^{2}\right)$.
Now we go on to define our 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ on $M_{\varphi}$. The section $\sigma$ defines a framing of $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ away from the submanifold $K_{\varphi}$. This framing restricted to $\partial\left(D^{2} \times S^{1}\right)$ is independent of $\varphi$ and it has a canonical extension to the interior of $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ as before. Thus we obtain a framing of the tangent bundle of $M_{\varphi}$ outside of $K_{\varphi}$ and this in turn induces a 2 -framing there. Now let us look into the situation near $K_{\varphi}$. Let $K$ be any connected component of $K_{\varphi}$; it is an oriented circle embedded in $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \subset M_{\varphi}$. Let $N(K)$ be a small tubular neighborhood of $K$ in $M_{\varphi}$. Then we can define a bundle trivialization

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong N(K) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

as follows. Recall that $X$ is a non-singular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Hence it defines a non-singular vector field over $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times\left[\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\right] \subset M_{\varphi}$ which is constant along the second factor. Thus we can consider $X$ also as a non-zero section of $\xi$ there. In particular $X$ defines a non-zero section of $\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)}$. This induces the desired bundle trivialization by sending the vector $X_{q}(q \in N(K))$ to the first unit vector of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Next let us define an identification $N(K) \cong K \times D^{2}$ as follows, where $D^{2}$ is the unit disc in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. For each point $p \in K$, let $D_{p} \subset N(K)$ denote the normal disc at $p$ so that $N(K)=\cup_{p \in K} D_{p}$, and let $q_{p} \in \partial D_{p}$ be the point such that its second coordinate as a point in $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times I$ attains the minimum among all the points in $D_{p}$. Then the required identification is characterized (up to homotopy) by the condition that each point $q_{p}$ goes to $(p, 1) \in K \times \partial D^{2}$. Now if we combine the above two trivializations, we obtain a bundle isomorphism

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2} .
$$

By the definition of the section $\sigma$, the vector $\sigma\left(q_{p}\right)$ is equal to $X_{p}$ (up to a positive constant) which is sent to the first unit vector of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ under the above isomorphism. Moreover if the point $q$ goes around $\partial D_{p}$ once in the positive direction starting from the point $q_{p}$, then the corresponding
vector $\sigma(q)$, projected to the fibre of $\xi$ at $p$, goes around the origin once in the positive direction starting from the vector $X_{p}$. Hence, by perturbing if necessary the section $\sigma$ appropriately, we can conclude that the section $\bar{\sigma}$ of the trivial bundle $\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$, which is induced from $\sigma$ via the above bundle isomorphism, is the "identity". Namely we have $\bar{\sigma}(p, v)=v\left(p \in K, v \in D^{2} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$. Hence if we glue the bundle $\xi$ over $N(K)=K \times D^{2}$ along its boundary according to the framing there induced from the section $\sigma$ and pull it back to $K \times\left(D^{2} / \partial D^{2}\right)$, then we obtain $\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} \eta_{1}$. Here $\varepsilon$ is the trivial line bundle over $K$, and $\eta_{1}$ denotes an oriented plane bundle over $D^{2} / \partial D^{2}=S^{2}$ with the Euler number exactly equal to 1 . Since $\pi_{2}(\operatorname{Spin}(4))=0,2 \eta_{1}$ has a unique trivialization as a $\operatorname{Spin}(4)$-bundle over $S^{2}$. Hence we can conclude that the above 2-framing of $M_{\varphi}$, which was defined outside of $K_{\varphi}$, can be uniquely extended to the whole of $M_{\varphi}$ as a global 2-framing. This is the definition of our canonical 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$. We will see later that this 2 -framing is well defined independent of the various choices made (see Proposition 6.1).

## 6. Proofs of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2

In this section we prove our main results (Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2). First we show

Proof of Theorem 5.1 assuming Theorem 5.2. Let $X$ be any nonsingular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Then we know that for any element $\varphi \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$, the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ is homotopic to $X$ or equivalently we have $k_{X}(\varphi)=0$ (see Corollary 4.2). Hence, by the definitions, the 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ of the mapping torus $M_{\varphi}$ is equal to twice the usual framing $t_{\varphi}$. It follows that $\delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{\varphi}\right)=\delta\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{X}(\varphi)\right)$. On the other hand, we know also that the value $d_{X}(\varphi)$ is independent of the choice of $X$ and is equal to $d(\varphi)$ (see [22]). It is now clear that Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 5.2. In particular we have proved that the 2 -framing $2 t_{\varphi}$ does not depend on the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$. q.e.d.

Next we prove Theorem 5.2. For that, we first generalize the definition of the 2 -framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ given after Proposition 5.3 as follows. Thereby we also prove that this 2-framing is uniquely defined.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ and let $M_{\varphi}$ be the corresponding mapping torus. Let $K_{\varphi}$ be any oriented 1-dimensional submanifold of $M_{\varphi}$ embedded in the locus $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \subset M_{\varphi}$ such that its homology class
in $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ is equal to $k_{X}(\varphi)$. Then there exists a section $\sigma$ of the oriented plane bundle $\xi$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ such that:
(i) its value at any point $p \times 0 \in \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 0$ is equal to $X_{p}$,
(ii) it is transverse to the zero-section $Z_{0}$ of $\xi$, and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma \cap Z_{0}=K_{\varphi}$.

Moreover the corresponding 2-framing, which is defined in a similar way as $t_{X}(\varphi)$, is homotopic to $t_{X}(\varphi)$. It follows in particular that the homotopy class of this 2-framing depends only on $X$ and $\varphi$ and not on the choice of the submanifold $K_{\varphi}$.

Proof. As before, we consider the mapping $f_{\varphi}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ defined by $f_{\varphi}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}\right)$, and assume that $\pi \in S^{1}$ is a regular value of $f_{\varphi}$. We put $K_{\varphi}^{0}=f_{\varphi}^{-1}(\pi)$, and consider it as an oriented submanifold of $M_{\varphi}$ embedded in $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \subset M_{\varphi}$. Our 2 -framing $t_{X}(\varphi)$ was defined in terms of this picture. Now we compare it with the more general situation described in Proposition 6.1. It is easy to see that we can construct a smooth mapping $f: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that (i) $f$ sends any point on $\partial \Sigma_{g}^{0}$ to $0 \in S^{1}$ and (ii) $\pi \in S^{1}$ is a regular value of $f$ and $f^{-1}(\pi)$ coincides with the given 1-dimensional submanifold $K_{\varphi} \subset \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2}$. Since the homology class of $K_{\varphi}$ is equal to $k_{X}(\varphi)$, by the assumption, the mapping $f$ is homotopic to $f_{\varphi}$ relative to $\partial \Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Now let $X^{\prime}$ be a nonsingular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ defined by the conditions $\angle\left(X_{p}, X_{p}^{\prime}\right)=f(p)$ and $\left\|X_{p}^{\prime}\right\|=\left\|X_{p}\right\|\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}\right)$. Since $f$ is homotopic to $f_{\varphi}$, it is easy to see that $X^{\prime}$ is homotopic to $\varphi_{*} X$. Now we define a section $\sigma$ of $\xi$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\sigma(p, t)= \begin{cases}X_{p} & t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right], \\ \left(\frac{3}{2}-2 t\right) X_{p}+\left(2 t-\frac{1}{2}\right) X_{p}^{\prime} & t \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right], \\ X_{p}^{t} & t \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right],\end{cases}
$$

where $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$, and $X^{t}\left(t \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]\right)$ is a homotopy of non-singular vector fields such that $X^{\frac{3}{4}}=X^{\prime}$ and $X^{1}=\varphi_{*} X$. Then it is easy to see that $\sigma$ is transverse to the zero-section $Z_{0}$ of $\xi$ and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma \cap Z_{0}=K_{\varphi}$. This proves (i).

Next we prove that the 2 -framing associated to the above picture is homotopic to the original $t_{X}(\varphi)$. Since the two mappings $f_{\varphi}, f: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow$ $S^{1}$ are homotopic to each other, there is a homotopy $F: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times I \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $F(p, 0)=f_{\varphi}(p)$ and $F(p, 1)=f(p)$. We may assume that $\pi \in S^{1}$ is a regular value of $F$, and put $\widetilde{K}=F^{-1}(\pi)$. Then $\widetilde{K}$ is a submanifold of $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times I$ of codimension one such that it meets the
boundary transversely, and $\widetilde{K} \cap \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 0=K_{\varphi}^{0}, \widetilde{K} \cap \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 1=K_{\varphi}$. We consider $\widetilde{K}$ as a submanifold of $M_{\varphi} \times I$ by embedding it into the locus $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \times I \subset M_{\varphi} \times I$, and let $N(\widetilde{K})$ denote a small tubular neighborhood of $\widetilde{K}$ in $M_{\varphi} \times I$. Now we consider the oriented plane bundle $\widetilde{\xi}=\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$ over $M_{\varphi} \times I$ where $\varepsilon$ denotes the trivial line bundle over $I$. We define a bundle trivialization

$$
\left.\widetilde{\xi}\right|_{\widetilde{K}} \cong \widetilde{K} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

as follows. The non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ defines the one on a neighborhood of $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \frac{1}{2} \times I$, which is constant along the second as well as the third factor. In particular we can consider $X$ as a non-zero section of $\left.\widetilde{\xi}\right|_{N(\tilde{K})}$. Then the required trivialization is defined by sending each vector $X_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{q} \in N(\tilde{K}))$ to the first unit vector of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$.

Now it can be easily shown that the section of $\widetilde{\xi}$ given over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime} \times\{0,1\}$ can be extended to a section $\tilde{\sigma}$ which is defined over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime} \times I$ such that it is transverse to the zero-section $\widetilde{Z}_{0}$ of $\widetilde{\xi}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\sigma} \cap \widetilde{Z}_{0}=\widetilde{K}$. This section $\widetilde{\sigma}$ induces a trivialization $N(\widetilde{K})=\widetilde{K} \times D^{2}$ as follows. For each point $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{K}$, let $D_{\tilde{p}}$ be the normal disc at $\tilde{p}$ so that we have $N(\widetilde{K})=\cup_{\tilde{p} \in \tilde{K}} D_{\tilde{p}}$. By the condition that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is transverse to the zerosection, we see that there is (essentially) a unique point $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{p}} \in \partial D_{\tilde{p}}$ such that the vector $\tilde{\sigma}\left(\tilde{q}_{\tilde{p}}\right)$ is equal to $X_{\tilde{q}_{\tilde{p}}}$ up to a positive scalar. Then the required trivialization is defined by sending the point $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{p}}$ to ( $\tilde{p}, 1$ ). It is easy to see that the restriction of the above trivialization to the boundary coincides with those of $N\left(K_{\varphi}^{0}\right)$ and $N\left(K_{\varphi}\right)$. Thus we obtain a bundle isomorphism

$$
\left.\widetilde{\xi}\right|_{\widetilde{K}} \cong\left(\widetilde{K} \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2},
$$

which coincides with the previously given one on the boundary. Then by exactly the same reason as before, the section $\bar{\sigma}$ of the trivial bundle $\left(\tilde{K} \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ which is induced from $\tilde{\sigma}$ via the above isomorphism is given by the "identity", namely,

$$
\bar{\sigma}(\tilde{p}, v)=v\left(\tilde{p} \in \tilde{K}, v \in D^{2} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}\right) .
$$

If we glue the bundle $\widetilde{\xi}$ over $N(\widetilde{K})$ along its boundary according to the framing there induced from the non-zero section $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and pull it back to $\widetilde{K} \times\left(D^{2} / \partial D^{2}\right)$, then we obtain $\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} \eta_{1}$ where $\varepsilon$ is the trivial line bundle over $\widetilde{K}$. Hence the 2-framing on $M_{\varphi}^{\prime} \times\{0,1\}$, which is a usual framing of the Spin $(6)$-bundle $2 T M_{\varphi}=2(\xi \oplus \varepsilon)$, can be extended to a framing
of $2 T M_{\varphi} \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$ over $M_{\varphi}^{\prime} \times I$. On the other hand, the framings of $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$ over each embedded solid torus $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ in $M_{\varphi} \times 0$ and $M_{\varphi} \times 1$ are the same so that they can be extended constantly over $D^{2} \times S^{1} \times I \subset M_{\varphi} \times I$. Thus we have shown that the 2 -framing on $M_{\varphi} \times\{0,1\}$ can be extended to a global framing of $2 T M_{\varphi} \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$ over the whole of $M_{\varphi} \times I$. This completes the proof of (ii) and hence that of Proposition. q.e.d.

Now we begin the proof of Theorem 5.2.
Proof of Theorem 5.2. The situation is as follows. We fix a nonsingular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. We then have the associated intersection cocycle $c_{X}$ given by

$$
c_{X}(\varphi, \psi)=k_{X}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k_{X}(\psi)\left(\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)
$$

where $k_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the crossed homomorphism corresponding to $X$. Let us define a section $t_{X}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}$ by

$$
t_{X}(\varphi)=\left(M_{\varphi}, t_{X}(\varphi)\right)\left(\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)
$$

where $t_{X}(\varphi)$ is the 2 -framing on $M_{\varphi}$ defined in $\S 5$. Then our task is to prove the identity

$$
c_{X}(\varphi, \psi)=t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)\left\{t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1}
$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$. Now we consider the $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle

$$
M_{(\varphi, \psi)} \longrightarrow P
$$

where $P$ is a pair of pants (or the 2-sphere with three holes) such that its boundary is a disjoint union of $M_{\varphi}, M_{\psi}$ and $-M_{\varphi \psi}$. Now the value $t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)\left\{t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1}$ is equal to the "difference degree" of the two 2-framings $t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)$ and $t_{X}(\varphi \psi)$ on $M_{\varphi \psi}$. In other words, it is equal to

$$
-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}\left(2 T\left(M_{\varphi \psi} \times I\right) ;-t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)+t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)
$$

which is minus half of the relative $p_{1}$ of twice the tangent bundle of the 4 -manifold $M_{\varphi \psi} \times I$ with respect to the 2 -framing $-t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)$ on $-M_{\varphi \psi} \times 0$ and $t_{X}(\varphi \psi)$ on $M_{\varphi \psi} \times 1$. On the other hand, by the definition of the multiplication in the group $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}$, the relative $p_{1}$ of the 4-manifold $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ with respect to the 2 -framing $t_{X}(\varphi)+t_{X}(\psi)-t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)$ on its boundary vanishes. Hence we can conclude that

$$
t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)\left\{t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}\left(2 T M_{(\varphi, \psi)} ; t_{X}(\varphi)+t_{X}(\psi)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)
$$

Thus we have only to prove the following equality

$$
\frac{1}{2} p_{1}\left(2 T M_{(\varphi, \psi)} ; t_{X}(\varphi)+t_{X}(\psi)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)=k_{X}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k_{X}(\psi) .
$$

Namely our task is to prove that the half of the relative $p_{1}$ of the 4 manifold $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ with respect to our canonical 2-framings on each connected component of its boundary is given by the intersection number $k_{X}\left(\varphi^{-1}\right) \cdot k_{X}(\psi)=-k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right)$.

Now instead of the $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$ over $P$, we consider the following model for it. Namely we take the product bundle $\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle$ over the standard 2-simplex $\triangle$ spanned by the three vertices $\{0,1,2\}$, and then identify the point $(p, 0)$ with $(\varphi(p), 1)$ and $(\varphi \psi(p), 2)$ for all $p \in \Sigma_{g}$. The resulting $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle is essentially the same as $M_{(\varphi, \psi)}$. We have the non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 0$ and it corresponds to $\varphi_{*} X$ (resp. $(\varphi \psi)_{*} X$ ) on $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 1$ (resp. $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times 2$ ) under the above identification. Now choose any oriented 1-dimensional submanifold $K_{\varphi}, K_{\psi}$ and $K_{\varphi \psi}$ of Int $\sum_{g}^{0}$ whose homology classes are $k_{X}(\varphi), k_{X}(\psi)$ and $k_{X}(\varphi \psi)$ respectively. We embed the three manifolds $K_{\varphi}, \varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)$, and $K_{\varphi \psi}$ into the locus $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times m_{01}, \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times m_{12}$ and $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times m_{02}$ respectively, where $m_{i j} \in \triangle$ is the midpoint of the 1 -simplex $\ell_{i j}$ spanned by the two vertices $\{i, j\}$. We have the identity $k_{X}(\varphi \psi)=k_{X}(\varphi)+\varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right)$ so that there exists an oriented properly embedded submanifold $W$ of $\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle$ of codimension two such that $\partial W=K_{\varphi} \cup \varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right) \cup-K_{\varphi \psi}$ (see Figure 3).

Figure 3

Now let $\xi$ be the tangent bundle along the fibre of the trivial $\Sigma_{g}$ bundle $\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle$. The boundary of this trivial bundle can be written as

$$
\partial\left(\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle\right)=\Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{01} \cup \Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{12} \cup-\Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{02}
$$

and each of the product bundle $\Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{i j}$ on the right-hand side will serve as a model for the $\Sigma_{g}$-bundles $M_{\varphi}, \varphi\left(M_{\psi}\right)$ and $M_{\varphi \psi}$ respectively. Here the meaning of the second bundle $\varphi\left(M_{\psi}\right)$ is the following. Namely we transfer everything from the bundle $M_{\psi}$ to $\Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{12}$ by the map $\varphi$ (see Figure 3). By virtue of Proposition 6.1, We have the section $\sigma$ of $\xi$ over $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial \triangle$, which is transverse to the zero-section $Z_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \sigma \cap Z_{0}=K_{\varphi} \cup \varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right) \cup-K_{\varphi \psi}$. We also have the associated 2-framing $t_{X}(\varphi)+\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)$ on the boundary. Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}\left(2 T M_{(\varphi, \psi)} ; t_{X}(\varphi)+t_{X}(\psi)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right) \\
& \quad=p_{1}\left(2 T\left(\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle\right) ; t_{X}(\varphi)+\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, we will compute the right-hand side of the above equality. Now it is easy to see that the section $\sigma$ of $\xi$ defined on $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial \triangle$ can be extended to a global section $\tilde{\sigma}$ over the whole of $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \triangle$ such that it is transverse to the zero-section $\widetilde{Z}_{0}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\sigma} \cap \widetilde{Z}_{0}=W$. Let $N(W)$ be a small tubular neighborhood of $W$. Then, as before, we can define a trivialization

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{N(W)} \cong N(W) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

as follows. We consider $X$ as a non-singular vector field over a neighborhood of $W$ in $\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle$, which is constant along the factor $\triangle$. In particular $X$ defines a non-zero section of $\left.\xi\right|_{N(W)}$. Then the required trivialization is given by sending each vector $X_{q}(q \in N(W))$ to the first unit vector of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Next for each point $p \in W$ let $D_{p}$ be the normal disc at $p$ so that $N(W)=\cup_{p \in W} D_{p}$. Since the section $\tilde{\sigma}$ is transverse to the zero-section, there exists a unique point $q_{p} \in D_{p}$ such that the vector $\tilde{\sigma}\left(q_{p}\right)$ is equal $X_{q_{p}}$ up to a positive constant. Then we can define a trivialization $N(W) \cong W \times D^{2}$ by sending each point $q_{p}$ to $(p, 1)$. Thus we have a bundle isomorphism

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{N(W)} \cong\left(W \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

and the section $\bar{\sigma}$ of the trivial bundle ( $W \times D^{2}$ ) $\times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ induced from $\tilde{\sigma}$ via the above isomorphism is given by the "identity", namely $\bar{\sigma}(p, v)=v$ $p \in W, v \in D^{2} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$. Now the section $\tilde{\sigma}$ defines a framing of $\xi$ over
$\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \triangle \backslash \operatorname{Int} N(W)$. If we glue the bundle $\left.\xi\right|_{N(W)}$ along its boundary according to the above framing and pull it back to $W \times D^{2} / \partial D^{2}$, then we obtain $\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} \eta_{1}$. By trivializing $2 \eta_{1}$, we get a framing of $2 \xi$ over $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \triangle$. Clearly the induced framing of $2 \xi$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \ell_{01}$ and $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \ell_{02}$ extends to the 2 -framings $t_{X}(\varphi)$ and $t_{X}(\varphi \psi)$ respectively. However the induced 2-framing on $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \ell_{12}$, which we denote $t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$, is different from the original 2 -framing $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)$ in general. Now it is easy to see that

$$
p_{1}\left(2 T\left(\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle\right) ; t_{X}(\varphi)+t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)=0
$$

because the above framing of $2 \xi$ is defined over the whole of $\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle$. Hence we can conclude that

$$
p_{1}\left(2 T\left(\Sigma_{g} \times \triangle\right) ; t_{X}(\varphi)+\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)-t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right)=2 \delta\left(\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)\right)
$$

where the right-hand side denotes twice the "difference degree" ( $=$ half of the relative $p_{1}$ ) of the two 2-framings $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right.$ ), $t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$ of $\Sigma_{g} \times \ell_{12}$.

Claim. We have the equality

$$
\delta\left(\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)\right)=-k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right)
$$

The above claim will surely complete the proof of Theorem 5.2. We first remark that it is enough to prove that the difference degree is proportional to the intersection number $k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right)$. For if it is the case, then it follows that the 2-cocycle

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \ni \varphi, \psi \mapsto t_{X}(\varphi) t_{X}(\psi)\left\{t_{X}(\varphi \psi)\right\}^{-1}
$$

is proportional to the intersection cocycle $c_{X}(\varphi, \psi)$. But we know that the above two cocycles are cohomologous to each other and both of them represent the same class $e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ which is nontrivial. Hence they must be equal.

Now we prove the above weakened form of our Claim. First observe that the two 2-framings $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$ are the same outside of a neighborhood of $\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)$ so that their difference is concentrated on $N\left(\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)\right)$. To analyse this difference, let us write down explicit formulae for the framings of $2 \xi$ over $N\left(\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)\right)$ which are induced from the above two 2-framings. First we consider the one induced from the 2-framing $t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$. Recall that this framing was defined in terms of the
bundle isomorphism $\left.\xi\right|_{N(W)} \cong\left(W \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$, which is induced from the non-singular vector field $X$ near $W$. We have the associated bundle projection $\xi \mid N(W) \rightarrow D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ followed by the mapping

which is induced from the framing on $\partial D^{2}$ given by the non-zero section $\partial D^{2} \ni v \mapsto v \in \mathbf{R}^{2}\left(v \in \partial D^{2} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$ there. Now let $R_{\theta} \in \mathrm{SO}(2)$ be the rotation matrix corresponding to the angle $\theta \in S^{1}$. Then the framing on $\partial D^{2}$ is given by $\partial D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \ni(v, \zeta) \mapsto R_{\theta}^{-1} \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ where $v=e^{i \theta} \in \partial D^{2}$. Now let $T_{\theta}=R_{\theta} \oplus R_{\theta} \in \mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4)$. It is easy to see that the mapping $S^{1} \ni \theta \mapsto T_{\theta} \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$ is null homotopic. In fact, if we set

$$
T_{\theta, r}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
r \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta & \sqrt{1-r^{2}} & 0 \\
r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta & 0 & -\sqrt{1-r^{2}} \\
-\sqrt{1-r^{2}} & 0 & r \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\
0 & \sqrt{1-r^{2}} & r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(4),
$$

then we have $T_{\theta, 1}=T_{\theta}$ and the correspondence

$$
D^{2} \ni v=r e^{i \theta} \mapsto T_{\theta, r} \in \mathrm{SO}(4)
$$

defines an extension of the above mapping to $D^{2}$. In terms of these matrices, our framing of $2 \eta_{1}$ is given, at the level of the trivial bundle $D^{2} \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, by

$$
D^{2} \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \ni\left(v=r e^{i \theta}, \zeta\right) \mapsto T_{\theta, r}^{-1} \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{4} .
$$

Now let $K$ be any connected component of $\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)$. Then, by the above argument, the framing of $2 \xi$ over $N(K)$ corresponding to the 2 -framing $t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$ is given by

$$
\left.2 \xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \ni(p, v, \zeta) \mapsto T_{\theta, r}^{-1} \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{4},
$$

where $p \in K, v=r e^{i \theta} \in D^{2}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathbf{R}^{4}$ as before.
Next we consider the framing of $2 \xi$ over $N(K)$ corresponding to the 2 -framing $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)$. The difference of this framing from the former one comes entirely from that of the choice of the bundle isomorphism $\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$. This time, instead of $X$ we have to use the
non-singular vector field $\varphi_{*} X$, which is now considered as a vector field defined near $N(K)$. For each point $p \in K$, let $D_{p} \subset N(K)$ be the normal disc at $p$. We have the identification $\left.\xi\right|_{D_{p}} \cong D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ which is defined by the vector field $X$. Then the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ on $D_{p}$ is represented by a section $\sigma_{p}: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of the trivial bundle $D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2}$ via the above identification. Now by perturbing the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ appropriately, we may assume that the above section is a constant function for all $p$. Namely if we set $u(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right) \in S^{1}$, then we have $\sigma_{p}(v)=$ $u(p)$. Here we understand $u(p)$ as a vector in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ of length 1. It follows that the bundle trivialization of $\left.\xi\right|_{D_{p}}$ with respect to the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ is given by the composition

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{D_{p}} \cong D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2},
$$

where the first isomorphism is the one with respect to the vector field $X$, and the second bundle map is given by

$$
D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \ni(v, \zeta) \mapsto\left(R_{u(p)}^{-1} v, R_{u(p)}^{-1} \zeta\right) \in D^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{2} .
$$

Hence the bundle trivialization of $\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)}$ with respect to the vector field $\varphi_{*} X$ is given by the composition

$$
\left.\xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \rightarrow\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

where the first isomorphism is the one corresponding to $X$, and the second mapping is given by

$$
\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2} \ni(p, v, \zeta) \mapsto\left(p, R_{u(p)}^{-1} v, R_{u(p)}^{-1} \zeta\right) \in\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times \mathbf{R}^{2}
$$

Observe here that if we write $v=r e^{i \theta}$, then $R_{u(p)}^{-1} v=r e^{i(\theta-u(p))}$. We can now obtain the following formula for the framing of $2 \xi$ over $N(K)$ corresponding to the 2 -framing $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)$ :

$$
\left.2 \xi\right|_{N(K)} \cong\left(K \times D^{2}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{R}^{2} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{2}\right) \ni(p, v, \zeta) \mapsto T_{\theta-u(p), r}^{-1} T_{u(p)}^{-1} \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{4},
$$

where $p \in K, v=r e^{i \theta} \in D^{2}$, and $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{4}$.
If we compare the above explicit formulae for the two framings of $\left.2 \xi\right|_{N(K)}$ corresponding to the 2-framings $\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right)$ and $t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)$, we can conclude that their difference can be described by the mapping

$$
K \times D^{2} \ni(p, v) \mapsto T_{\theta, r}^{-1} T_{u(p)} T_{\theta-u(p), r} \in \mathrm{SO}(4),
$$

which factors through a mapping

$$
h: K \times S^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4) .
$$

More precisely, if $K_{i}$ runs through all connected components of $\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)$, and $h_{i}: K_{i} \times S^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ denotes the corresponding mapping, then

$$
\delta\left(\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}<\left[K_{i} \times S^{2}\right], h_{i}^{*}(C S)>
$$

Here $\left[K_{i} \times S^{2}\right.$ ] is the fundamental homology class of $K_{i} \times S^{2}$, and $C S \in H^{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4) ; \mathrm{Z})$ denotes the Chern-Simons class corresponding to the first Pontrjagin class $p_{1}$. Now let us define a mapping

$$
h_{0}: S^{1} \times S^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)
$$

by the correspondence

$$
S^{1} \times D^{2} \ni(u, v) \mapsto T_{\theta, r}^{-1} T_{u} T_{\theta-u, r} \in \mathrm{SO}(4)
$$

and set $c_{0}=<\left[S^{1} \times S^{2}\right], h_{0}^{*}(C S)>$. If we define $h_{i}^{\prime}: K \times S^{2} \rightarrow S^{1} \times S^{2}$ by $h_{i}^{\prime}(p, v)=(u(p), v)$, then clearly we have $h_{i}=h_{0} \circ h_{i}^{\prime}$. Hence if we write deg $h_{i}^{\prime}$ for the degree of the mapping $h_{i}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\delta\left(\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)\right)=\frac{1}{2} c_{0} \sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} h_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

Subclaim. We have the equality

$$
\sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} h_{i}^{\prime}=-k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right) .
$$

Proof of Subclaim. Clearly we have $\operatorname{deg} h_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} u_{i}$ where, recall that, for each connected component $K_{i}$ of $\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)$, the mapping $u_{i}: K_{i} \rightarrow S^{1}$ was defined by $u_{i}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$. On the other hand, we have the mapping $f_{\varphi}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow S^{1}$ defined by $f_{\varphi}(p)=\angle\left(X_{p},\left(\varphi_{*} X\right)_{p}\right)$ ( $p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}$ ) so that $u_{i}$ is nothing but the restriction of $f_{\varphi}$ to $K_{i} \subset \Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Since $f_{\varphi}^{*}(\iota) \in H^{2}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is the Poincaré dual of $k_{X}(\varphi)$, we have the equality $\left\langle u, f_{\varphi}^{*}(\iota)\right\rangle=u \cdot k_{X}(\varphi)$ which holds for any homology class $u \in H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Now we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} h_{i}^{\prime} & =\sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} u_{i} \\
& =<\left[\varphi\left(K_{\psi}\right)\right], f_{\varphi}^{*}(\iota)> \\
& =-k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Subclaim.
Thus we have the equality

$$
\delta\left(\varphi\left(t_{X}(\psi)\right), t_{X}^{\prime}(\psi)\right)=-\frac{1}{2} c_{0} k_{X}(\varphi) \cdot \varphi_{*}\left(k_{X}(\psi)\right),
$$

and this in turn completes the proof of Claim and hence that of Theorem 5.2. q.e.d.

Remark 6.1. As an immediate consequence of the above proof, we can conclude that the value of $c_{0}$ is equal to 2 . It is possible to determine this value by an explicit computation. But our conceptual proof as above enables us to avoid this rather troublesome work.

## 7. The secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles

Up to the previous section, we have given an interpretation of the Casson invariant in terms of Hirzebruch's signature defect of certain framed 3-manifolds. More precisely, we identified the value of the homomorphism

$$
d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}
$$

which serves as the core of the Casson invariant from our point of view, with the signature defect of the corresponding mapping torus with respect to some canonical framing of its tangent bundle (Theorem 5.1). In this section we generalize the above procedure but, so to speak, in the opposite direction we define secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles. Namely we make use of the concept of the signature defect to obtain a series of certain cohomology classes

$$
d_{i} \in H^{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots)
$$

of which the first one $d_{1}$ is nothing but the above invariant $d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ (up to the factor $\frac{1}{3}$ ) which is known to be $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$-invariant (see [22], [23]).

To define our secondary classes, we use the generalized form of Thom's bordism theory given in [1], [8]. Thus for any CW complex $K$, let $\Omega_{*}(K)=\sum_{i} \Omega_{i}(K)$ denote the oriented bordism group of $K$. It has a natural structure of a graded module over the Thom bordism ring $\Omega_{*}$. Over the rationals, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$
\Omega_{i}(K) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \cong \sum_{p+q=i} H_{p}(K ; \mathbf{Q}) \otimes \Omega_{q}
$$

(see [8]). The following is an immediate corollary to this statement.
Proposition 7.1. Let $K$ be a $C W$ complex and let

$$
f: \Omega_{i}(K) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

be a homomorphism. Assume that $f$ vanishes on the submodule

$$
\sum_{p+q=i, q>0} H_{p}(K ; \mathbf{Q}) \otimes \Omega_{q} \subset \Omega_{i}(K) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

Then $f$ can be considered as an element of $H^{i}(K ; \mathbf{Q})$.
Let us write $\Omega_{i}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)$ for the bordism group of $B \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ which is the classifying space for the group $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. Hereafter we are going to define a homomorphism

$$
\delta_{i}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

Any element of $\Omega_{i}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)$, represented by an oriented $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ over a closed oriented $i$-dimensional manifold $M$, satisfies the following three conditions:
(i) There is given an identification of the fibre $E_{q_{0}}$ over a base point $q_{0} \in M$ with $\Sigma_{g}$.
(ii) There is given a cross section $s: M \rightarrow E$ together with a trivialization $N(s(M)) \cong s(M) \times D^{2}$ of the normal disc bundle $N(s(M))$ of the image of the section $s$.
(iii) The image of the holonomy homomorphism $\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$.

Henceforth let us call such a $\Sigma_{g^{-}}$-bundle a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1^{-}}$bundle. Two $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1^{-}}$bundles $\pi_{k}: E_{k} \rightarrow M(k=0,1)$ over the same base space $M$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $E_{0} \cong E_{1}$, over the identity of $M$, as $\Sigma_{g}$-bundles such that (i) the isotopy class of the induced diffeomorphism on $E_{q_{0}}=\Sigma_{g}$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ and (ii) it preserves the cross sections as well as the trivializations of their normal disc bundles. Then, as usual, there is a canonical bijection between the set of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1^{-}}$ isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1^{-}}$bundles over $M$ and the set $\left[M, B \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right]$ of homotopy classes of mappings from $M$ to the classifying space $B \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$.

Now let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle and let $\xi$ be its tangent bundle along the fibres. Clearly we have an isomorphism

$$
T E \cong \xi \oplus \pi^{*}(T M)
$$

Since the image of the holonomy of $\pi$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ by the assumption, the total space $E$ contains $D^{2} \times M$ as a natural submanifold. We write $E^{\prime}=E \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2} \times M$.

Proposition 7.2. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle. Then for each non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$, there is a canonical framing $t_{X}$ of $\xi$ over $E^{\prime}$. Moreover if we glue the bundle $\xi$ along $E^{\prime}$ according to the above framing and pull it back to $\left(D^{2} / \partial D^{2}\right) \times M$ via the natural map $\left(D^{2} / \partial D^{2}\right) \times M \rightarrow E / E^{\prime}$, then we obtain $\eta_{2-2 g} \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$ where $\eta_{2-2 g}$ is an oriented plane bundle over $D^{2} / \partial D^{2}=S^{2}$ with the Euler number equal to $2-2 g$, and $\varepsilon$ denotes the trivial line bundle over $M$.

Proof. First we make a cell decomposition of the base space $M$ with a single 0 -cell at the base point $q_{0}$. The non-singular vector field $X$ defines a non-zero section of $\left.\xi\right|_{E_{q_{0}}^{\prime}}$ via the identification $E_{q_{0}}^{\prime}=\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ where $E_{q_{0}}^{\prime}=E_{q_{0}} \cap E^{\prime}$. We extend this non-zero section to the whole of $E^{\prime}$ by an induction on the dimension of the cells. Let $\tau^{1}$ be any 1 -cell of $M$. Since the holonomy along this 1 -cell is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ by the assumption, the non-zero section extends to $\pi^{-1}\left(\tau^{1}\right) \cap E^{\prime}$ by Corollary 4.2. Here we can take the extension to be constant along $\pi^{-1}\left(\tau^{1}\right) \cap \partial E^{\prime}$. Next let $\tau^{2}$ be any 2-cell and let $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{2} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(\tau^{2}\right) \cap E^{\prime}$ be a trivialization over $\tau^{2}$. By pulling back the non-zero section of $\xi$ over $\pi^{-1}\left(\partial \tau^{2}\right) \cap E^{\prime}$ via the above trivialization, we obtain a non-zero section $\sigma^{1}$ of $\xi_{0}$ ( $=$ the tangent bundle along the fibres of the trivial $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$-bundle $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{2}$ ) over $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial D^{2}$. This induces a mapping

$$
h^{1}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial D^{2} \longrightarrow S^{1}
$$

by the formula $h^{1}(p, q)=\angle\left(X_{p}, \sigma^{1}(p, q)\right)\left(p \in \Sigma_{g}^{0}, q \in \partial D^{2}\right)$. Here we consider $X$ as a non-zero section of $\xi_{0}$ which is constant along the second factor of $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{2}$. Observe that the above mapping $h^{1}$ is null homotopic. This is because the restriction of $h^{1}$ to $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times q_{0}$ ( $q_{0}$ is the base point of $\partial D^{2}$ which is identified to the base point of $M$ ) and also to $\partial \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial D^{2}$ is the constant map to $0 \in S^{1}$. Hence there is an extension $\tilde{h}^{1}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{2} \rightarrow S^{1}$ of $h^{1}$. By making use of this extension, it is now easy to extend the non-zero section of $\xi$ over each 2 -cell $\tau^{2}$.

Next let $\tau^{3}$ be any 3 -cell. Choose a trivialization

$$
\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{3} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(\tau^{3}\right) \cap E^{\prime}
$$

Then, as before, the pull back of the non-zero section obtained so far to the trivial bundle $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{3}$ induces a mapping

$$
h^{2}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times \partial D^{3} \longrightarrow S^{1},
$$

which is easily shown to be null homotopic. Hence there is an extension $\tilde{h}^{2}: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \times D^{3} \rightarrow S^{1}$ of $h^{2}$, and by making use of this we can extend the non-zero section over each 3 -cell $\tau^{3}$. Clearly we can continue the above argument over any k -cell $(k \geq 4)$ so that we eventually obtain the required non-zero section of $\left.\xi\right|_{E^{\prime}}$. This induces our trivialization $t_{X}$ of $\xi$ over $E^{\prime}$. Since the above non-zero section of $\xi$ is constant along the second factor of $\partial E^{\prime}=\partial D^{2} \times M$, the latter statement of the Proposition is obvious. This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle and let $t_{X}$ be the framing of $\xi$ over $E^{\prime}$ as above. If the base space $M$ is a closed oriented manifold of dimension $4 i-3$ (so that $\operatorname{dim} E=4 i-1$ ), then we can define a rational number $\delta(\pi) \in \mathbf{Q}$ as follows. The definition is given by generalizing that of the Hirzebruch's signature defect to the context of partially framed ( $4 i-1$ )-manifold ( $E, t_{X}$ ).

First observe that all the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of the manifold $E$ is zero so that we can choose a compact oriented $4 i$-manifold $W$ such that $\partial W=E$. Clearly we have $\left.T W\right|_{E}=T E \oplus \varepsilon=\pi^{*}(T M) \oplus \xi \oplus \varepsilon$. In view of Proposition 7.2, the framing $t_{X}$ of $\xi$ over $E^{\prime}$ together with the unique trivialization of $\eta_{2-2 g} \oplus \varepsilon$ (cf. the argument after Theorem 5.1) induces a canonical framing of the bundle $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$, which we denote also by $t_{X}$. Now choose any connection on $T M$. Then the pull back of this connection to $\pi^{*}(T M)$ together with the flat connection on $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$ corresponding to the framing $t_{X}$ above defines a connection on $\left.T W\right|_{E}$. Extend this connection to the whole of $W$. Now let $L_{i}=L_{i}\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{i}\right)$ be Hirzebruch's $i$-th $L$-polynomial ([13]). Then we can define the relative $L_{i}$-number $L_{i}\left(T W ; t_{X}\right)$ with respect to the framing $t_{X}$ on the boundary by

$$
L_{i}\left(T W ; t_{X}\right)=\int_{W} L_{i}(T W)
$$

Here $L_{i}(T W)$ denotes the top form on $W$ corresponding to the characteristic class $L_{i}$ with respect to the connection on $T W$ defined above. Now we set

$$
\delta(\pi)=L_{i}\left(T W ; t_{X}\right)-\operatorname{sign} W .
$$

and call it the signature defect of the $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$.
Proposition 7.3. The above definition of the signature defect of a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ is well defined. Namely it is independent of the choices of connections on TM and also of the manifold $W$ such that $\partial W=E$.

Proof. The proof can be given almost in the same way as the case of usual signature defect. It is enough to mention only the fact that any two connections on $T M$ can be connected by a path of connections and that the possible error term, which is an integral over $E \times I$ corresponding to the difference of two connections on $T M$, vanishes because of the trivial dimension reasons. This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Next we show that our generalized signature defect of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles is a bordism invariant. More precisely we have

Proposition 7.4. If two $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles $\pi_{k}: E_{k} \rightarrow M_{k}(k=0,1)$ over closed oriented ( $4 i-3$ )-dimensional manifolds $M_{k}$ are bordant, namely if they define the same element of $\Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)$, then their generalized signature defects coincide: $\delta\left(\pi_{0}\right)=\delta\left(\pi_{1}\right)$. It follows that the signature defect defines a homomorphism

$$
\delta_{i}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

Proof. By the assumption that the two $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles $\pi_{0}$ and $\pi_{1}$ are bordant, there exists a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle $\tilde{\pi}: \widetilde{E} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ such that (i) $\partial \widetilde{M}$ $=M_{1}-M_{0}$ and $\left.\tilde{\pi}\right|_{\partial \tilde{E}}=\pi_{1}-\pi_{0}$ and (ii) the identification of the fibre $\widetilde{E}_{\tilde{q}_{0}}$ of $\tilde{\pi}$ over the base point $\tilde{q}_{0} \in \widetilde{M}$ induces those on the boundary. Let $\tilde{\xi}$ be the tangent bundle along the fibres of $\tilde{\pi}$. It is easy to see that the framing $t_{X}$ given on the boundary can be extended to a framing $\tilde{t}_{X}$ of $\tilde{\xi}$ over $\widetilde{E}^{\prime}$. Also any connections on $T M_{k}$ can be extended to one on $T \widetilde{M}$. These induce a connection on $T \widetilde{E}$. But it is easy to see that the corresponding $L_{i}$-form on $\widetilde{E}$ vanishes identically. We know also that sign $\widetilde{E}=0$ because the holonomy of this $\Sigma_{g}$-bundle is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. The required assertion follows from these facts easily. q.e.d.

Next we show that the signature defect of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles is invariant under the natural action of the mapping class group. Namely we have

Proposition 7.5. The value of the signature defect of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles is independent of the choice of the non-singular vector field $X$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Hence the homomorphism $\delta_{i}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$-invariant where the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ acts on $\Omega_{\star}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)$ naturally.

Proof. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle over a closed oriented (4i-3)-dimensional manifold $M$. We have the partial framing $t_{X}$ of $T E$ corresponding to the non-singular vector field $X$. Namely it is a framing of the bundle $\xi \oplus \varepsilon$. Now let $Y$ be another non-singular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$
and let $t_{Y}$ be the associated partial framing. Now consider the product bundle $\pi \times I: E \times I \rightarrow M \times I$. Choose any connection on $T M$. Since there is a canonical isomorphism $T(E \times I)=\pi^{*}(T M) \oplus \varepsilon \oplus(\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon)$, we can consider the relative $L_{i}$-number $L_{i}\left(T(E \times I) ; t_{Y}-t_{X}\right)$. We claim that this number vanishes. To show this, it is enough to prove that with respect to the framings $t_{X}$ and $t_{Y}$ of $\varepsilon \oplus \xi$ on the boundary of $E \times I$, the relative $p_{1}$ of the bundle $\varepsilon \oplus(\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon)$ is zero. Let us denote this relative class by $p_{1}\left(\varepsilon \oplus(\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon) ; t_{Y}-t_{X}\right) \in H^{4}(E \times I, E \times \partial I ; \mathbf{Q})$. Now we have also the relative Euler class $\chi \in H^{2}\left(E \times I,(E \times \partial I) \cup\left(D^{2} \times M \times I\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ of the bundle $\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon$ with respect to the framings $t_{X}$ and $t_{Y}$ of $\left.\xi\right|_{E^{\prime}}$. Let us use the same symbol $\chi$ for the pull back of the above class to $H^{2}(E \times I, E \times$ $\partial I ; \mathbf{Z})$ which is isomorphic to $H^{2}(E ; \mathbf{Z}) \oplus\left(H^{1}(E ; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^{1}(I, \partial I ; \mathbf{Z})\right)$. But clearly the restriction of $\chi$ to $E$ is zero so that we can consider $\chi \in H^{1}(E ; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^{1}(I, \partial I ; \mathbf{Z})$. Hence $p_{1}\left(\varepsilon \oplus(\xi \hat{\otimes} \varepsilon) ; t_{Y}-t_{X}\right)=\chi^{2}=0$ as required. Thus we have proved that the relative $L_{i}$-number of $\pi \times I$ vanishes. We also have the trivial fact that $\operatorname{sign} E \times I=0$. It is now easy to deduce the required result from these two facts. The latter statement of the proposition is clear because the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ on a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle is simply given by a change of the identification of the fibre over the base point with $\Sigma_{g}$ so that its effect on the signature defect reduces to the change of the non-singular vector field. This completes the proof.
q.e.d.

As another general property of the homomorphisms $\delta_{i}$, we prove that they are stable with respect to the genus. More precisely we have

Proposition 7.6. Let $i: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{g+1,1}$ be the homomorphism induced from the natural embedding $\Sigma_{g}^{0} \subset \Sigma_{g+1}^{0}$. Then the following diagram is commutative


Proof. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle over a closed oriented (4i-3)-dimensional manifold $M$ and let $u \in \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)$ be its bordism class. As before we set $E^{\prime}=E \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2} \times M$ where $D^{2} \times M$ is considered as a natural submanifold of $E$. Let $T_{0}=T \backslash$ Int $D^{2}$ be the 2-torus with a hole. If we define $\hat{E}$ to be the manifold obtained by glueing
$E^{\prime}$ and $T_{0} \times M$ along their boundaries, then the natural projection $\hat{\pi}: \hat{E} \rightarrow M$ admits a structure of a $\mathcal{K}_{g+1,1}$-bundle, and its bordism class in $\Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g+1,1}\right)$ is nothing but the element $i_{*}(u)$. Now let $X$ be a nonsingular vector field on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ and extend it to obtain a non-singular vector field $\hat{X}$ on $\Sigma_{g+1}^{0}$. Then we have partial framings $t_{X}$ and $t_{\hat{X}}$ of the tangent bundles along the fibres of $E$ and $\hat{E}$ respectively. Choose a compact oriented $4 i$-dimensional manifold $W$ such that $\partial W=E$. Let $\hat{T}$ be the solid torus and let $D^{2} \subset \partial \hat{T}$ be an embedded disc so that $\partial \hat{T} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{2}$ $=T_{0}$. If we define $\hat{W}$ to be the manifold obtained by attaching $\hat{T} \times M$ to $W$ along the "common" $D^{2} \times M(\subset \partial(\hat{T} \times M), E)$, then clearly we have $\partial \hat{W}=\hat{E}$. Now it is easy to see that $\operatorname{sign} \hat{W}=\operatorname{sign} W$ and $L_{i}\left(T \hat{W} ; t_{\hat{X}}\right)=L_{i}\left(T W ; t_{X}\right)$. Hence $\delta_{i}\left(i_{*}(u)\right)=\delta_{i}(u)$. This completes the proof. q.e.d.

Now we consider the value of the homomorphism

$$
\delta_{i}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

on the "decomposable" part

$$
\sum_{p+q=4 i-3, q>0} H_{p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \otimes \Omega_{q} \subset \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

First it can be easily checked that the homomorphism

$$
\delta_{1}: \Omega_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right)=H_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

coincides with the invariant $\frac{1}{3} d: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$. Let us write

$$
d_{1} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)
$$

for $\delta_{1}=\frac{1}{3} d$. Next consider the case of $i=2$. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow S^{1}$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle over $S^{1}$ and let $N$ be a closed oriented 4 -manifold. We can consider the product $\hat{\pi}: E \times N \rightarrow S^{1} \times N$ as an element of

$$
H_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \otimes \Omega_{4} \subset \Omega_{5}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

so that we can consider the value $\delta_{2}(\hat{\pi}) \in \mathbf{Q}$. We claim that

$$
\delta_{2}(\hat{\pi})=d_{1}(\pi) L_{1}[N],
$$

where $L_{1}[N]$ denotes the $L_{1}$-number of the 4 -manifold $N$. To prove this, choose a compact oriented 4 -manifold $W$ such that $\partial W=E$.

Then clearly $\partial(W \times N)=E \times N$. Now we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{2}(\hat{\pi}) & =L_{2}\left(W \times N ; \tilde{t}_{X}\right)-\operatorname{sign}(W \times N) \\
& =L_{1}\left(W ; t_{X}\right) L_{1}[N]-\operatorname{sign} W \operatorname{sign} N \\
& =\left(L_{1}\left(W ; t_{X}\right)-\operatorname{sign} W\right) L_{1}[N] \\
& =d_{1}(\pi) L_{1}[N],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{X}$ is the partial framing on $T E, \hat{t}_{X}$ denotes the induced partial framing on $T(E \times N)$ and we have used the fact that $L_{1}[N]=\operatorname{sign} N$ which is a consequence of the Hirzebruch's signature theorem. Now we consider the formal product $d_{1} L_{1}$ as a generalized Pontrjagin number for the bordism group of $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. Namely for any $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle $\omega: F \rightarrow M$ over a closed oriented 5 -manifold $M$, we set $d_{1} L_{1}(\omega)=<[M], d_{1}(\omega) L_{1}(M)>$ where $d_{1}(\omega) \in H^{1}(M ; \mathbf{Q})$ is the $d_{1}$-class of the bundle $\omega$. In view of the well known general theory (cf. [8]), this number induces a homomorphism

$$
d_{1} L_{1}: \Omega_{5}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

In terms of this notation, it is easy to see that

$$
d_{1} L_{1}(\hat{\pi})=d_{1}(\pi) L_{1}[N] .
$$

Set

$$
d_{2}=\delta_{2}-d_{1} L_{1}: \Omega_{5}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q} .
$$

Then the above computation shows that $d_{2}$ is trivial on the decomposable summand

$$
H_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \otimes \Omega_{4} \subset \Omega_{5}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

Hence we can consider $d_{2}$ as an element of $H^{5}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)$ by Proposition 7.1.

Now we consider the homomorphism $\delta_{i}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ for general $i$. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle over a closed oriented ( $4 j-3$ )dimensional manifold $M(j<i)$ and let $N$ be a closed oriented $4(i-j)$ dimensional manifold. Let $\hat{\pi}: E \times N \rightarrow M \times N$ be the product of $\pi$ with $N$. Then almost the same proof as before implies the equality $\delta_{i}(\hat{\pi})=\delta_{j}(\pi) L_{i-j}[N]$ where we use the Hirzebruch's signature theorem [13] $L_{i-j}[N]=\operatorname{sign} N$ in its full generality. Motivated by this, we set

$$
d_{i}=\delta_{i}-d_{i-1} L_{1}-d_{i-2} L_{2}-\cdots-d_{1} L_{i-1}: \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}
$$

where $d_{j} L_{k}$ stands for the generalized Pontrjagin number corresponding to the formal product $d_{j} L_{k}$. Namely for a general $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundle $\omega$ : $F \rightarrow Z$ over a closed oriented $(4 j+4 k-3)$-dimensional manifold $Z$, we define $d_{j} L_{k}(\omega)=<[Z], \rho^{*}\left(d_{j}\right) L_{k}(Z)>$ where $\rho: \pi_{1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ is the holonomy homomorphism, and we understand $\rho^{*}\left(d_{j}\right)$ as an element of $H^{4 j-3}(Z ; \mathbf{Q})$. Then an easy inductive argument shows that $d_{i}$ is trivial on the decomposable summand

$$
\sum_{p+q=4 i-3, q>0} H_{p}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right) \otimes \Omega_{q} \subset \Omega_{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}
$$

Hence we can conclude that $d_{i}$ is an element of $H^{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)$ by Proposition 7.1. In view of Proposition 7.5 and Proposition 7.6, we have established.

Theorem 7.7. The generalized signature defect for $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$-bundles defines a series of characteristic classes

$$
d_{i} \in H^{4 i-3}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots),
$$

which are $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ invariant and stable with respect to the genus $g$.
We call these cohomology classes the secondary characteristic classes of surface bundles.

## 8. Concluding remark

In this paper, we have formulated our results mainly at the level of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ of $\Sigma_{g}$ relative to an embedded disc $D^{2} \subset \Sigma_{g}$ and its subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. However we expect that our results should descend to the level of the usual mapping class groups $\mathcal{M}_{g}, \mathcal{K}_{g}$. For example, we already have a formula for an explicit group 2-cocycle of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ which is closely related to the intersection cocycle and represents the class $e_{1} \in H^{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\right)$ (see [25]). The problem is that it is a rational cocycle rather than an integral one so that the associated mapping $\bar{d}: \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$, which is an analogue of the invariant $d$ for $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$, is also rational valued. It would be interesting if one could give a geometrical interpretation to this invariant.

If we restrict $\bar{d}$ to the subgroup $\mathcal{K}_{g}$, then we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{d}: \mathcal{K}_{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ which is $\mathcal{M}_{g}$-invariant. In fact we know that it is a generator of the group $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)^{\mathcal{M}_{g}}$ which is one dimensional (see [23]). Thus, after an appropriate adjustment, the secondary class $d_{1} \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} ; \mathbf{Q}\right)$
does descend to the one on the usual mapping class group. It seems to be very likely that higher secondary classes $d_{i}(i>1)$ also descend to cohomology classes of $\mathcal{K}_{g}$.
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